Case	2:22-cv-05367-RGK-MAA Document 90 #:3844	Filed 06/30/23 Page 1 of 2 Page ID	
1 2 3 4 5 6	THOMAS M. FERLAUTO (SBN 155503)  LAW OFFICE OF THOMAS M. FERLAUTO, APC  25201 Paseo de Alicia, Suite 270  Laguna Hills, California 92653  Telephone: 949-334-8650  Fax: 949-334-8691  Email: TMF@lawofficeTMF.com		
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10 11	LOCHILA ACCIER	C N A AA	
12	JOSHUA ASSIFF,	Case No. 2:22-cv-05367 RGK (MAAx)	
13	Plaintiff,	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN OPPOSITION TO	
14	<b>v.</b>	DEFENDANTS' MOTION IN LIMINE	
15	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES;	#9	
16	SHERIFF DEPUTY BADGE NUMBER 404532;	Action Filed: August 3, 2022	
17	And DOES 1 through 10,	Pretrial Conference: July 10, 2023 Trial Date: July 25, 2023	
18	Defendants.		
19		Assigned to: Hon. R. Gary Klausner, District Judge, Courtroom 850	
20			
21	Plaintiff, JOSHUA ASSIFF (hereinafter "Plaintiff") hereby respectfully		
22	submits the following memorandum of points and authorities in opposition to		
23	Defendants' motion in limine #9 to bifurcate the issue of punitive damages.		
24	I. INTRODUCTION		
25	Plaintiff is a 21-year old black male and a student at Antelope Valley College		
26	where he plays basketball. Plaintiff was driving from his home to a teammate's house		
27	in order to carpool to basketball practice. For no apparent reason and without		
28	probable cause, KELLY, a male Caucasian motorcycle Sheriff deputy, pulled		
	1		
	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION IN LIMINE #9		

28

Plaintiff over. For no apparent reason and without probable cause, KELLY – as well as other deputies who subsequently responded to the call – all tasered, choked, pepper sprayed, beat and arrested Plaintiff. Plaintiff has asserted the First Cause of Action against KELLY for violation of 42 USC § 1983 (arrest without probable cause and with excessive force).

Plaintiff seeks punitive damages from Defendant Kelly.

## THIS MOTION SHOULD BE DENIED II.

Defendants argue that in this motion in limine that not only the issue of punitive damages should be bifurcated, but also the issue of compensatory damages. (See, Motion p. 10 ln. 7-10) Defendants cite to no authority for the proposition that compensatory damages should be severed from liability nor explain why such a bifurcation would be in the interests of judicial economy. In fact, it would not be. Any bifurcation will require the unnecessary duplication of effort and the repeated presentation of the same evidence.

## IV. **CONCLUSION**

For the reasons set forth above, this motion should be denied.

DATED: June 30th, 2023 The Law Office Of Thomas M. Ferlauto, APC

By:

Thomas M. Ferlauto

Attorney For: Plaintiff, JOSHUA ASSIFF